Senate



General Assembly

File No. 127

January Session, 2009

Substitute Senate Bill No. 962

Senate, March 19, 2009

The Committee on Insurance and Real Estate reported through SEN. CRISCO of the 17th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING WELLNESS INCENTIVES.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

1 Section 1. (NEW) (Effective January 1, 2010) (a) Any insurer, health 2 care center, hospital service corporation, medical service corporation, 3 fraternal benefit society or other entity that delivers, issues for 4 delivery, renews, amends or continues in this state a group health 5 insurance policy providing coverage of the type specified in 6 subdivisions (1), (2), (4), (11) and (12) of section 38a-469 of the general 7 statutes shall offer a reasonably designed health behavior wellness, 8 maintenance or improvement program that allows for a reward, a health spending account contribution, a reduction in premiums or 10 reduced medical, prescription drug or equipment copayment, 11 coinsurance or deductible, or a combination of these incentives, for 12 participation in such program.

(b) Any such incentive or reward shall not exceed twenty per cent of the paid premiums and shall comply with all nondiscrimination requirements under the Health Insurance Portability and

13

14

15

Accountability Act of 1996 (P.L. 104-191) (HIPAA), as amended from time to time, or regulations adopted thereunder.

- 18 (c) The insured or enrollee shall provide evidence of participation in 19 such program to the insurer, health care center or other entity set forth 20 in subsection (a) of this section in a manner approved by the Insurance 21 Commissioner.
- 22 (d) The Insurance Commissioner, in consultation with the 23 Commissioner of Public Health, shall adopt regulations, in accordance 24 with chapter 54 of the general statutes, to establish the criteria and 25 procedures for the approval of such health behavior wellness, 26 maintenance or improvement programs.
- Sec. 2. Section 38a-825 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2010*):
- 29 [No] Except as provided in section 1 of this act, no insurance 30 company doing business in this state, or attorney, producer or any 31 other person shall pay or allow, or offer to pay or allow, as inducement 32 to insurance, any rebate of premium payable on the policy, or any 33 special favor or advantage in the dividends or other benefits to accrue 34 thereon, or any valuable consideration or inducement not specified in 35 the policy of insurance. [No] Except as provided in section 1 of this act, 36 <u>no</u> person shall receive or accept from any company, or attorney, 37 producer or any other person, as inducement to insurance, any such 38 rebate of premium payable on the policy, or any special favor or 39 advantage in the dividends or other benefit to accrue thereon, or any 40 valuable consideration or inducement not specified in the policy of 41 insurance. No person shall be excused from testifying or from 42 producing any books, papers, contracts, agreements or documents, at 43 the trial of any other person charged with the violation of any 44 provision of this section or of section 38a-446, on the ground that such 45 testimony or evidence may tend to incriminate him, but no person 46 shall be prosecuted for any act concerning which he is compelled to so 47 testify or produce documentary or other evidence, except for perjury 48 committed in so testifying.

Sec. 3. Subdivision (9) of section 38a-816 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January* 1, 2010):

(9) Any violation of any one of sections 38a-358, 38a-446, 38a-447, 38a-488, 38a-825, as amended by this act, 38a-826, 38a-828 and 38a-829. None of the following practices shall be considered discrimination within the meaning of section 38a-446 or 38a-488 or a rebate within the meaning of section 38a-825: (a) Paying bonuses to policyholders or otherwise abating their premiums in whole or in part out of surplus accumulated from nonparticipating insurance, provided any such bonuses or abatement of premiums shall be fair and equitable to policyholders and for the best interests of the company and its policyholders; (b) in the case of policies issued on the industrial debit plan, making allowance to policyholders who have continuously for a specified period made premium payments directly to an office of the insurer in an amount which fairly represents the saving in collection expense; (c) readjustment of the rate of premium for a group insurance policy based on loss or expense experience, or both, at the end of the first or any subsequent policy year, which may be made retroactive for such policy year; (d) paying a reward, making a health spending account contribution, or allowing a reduction in premiums or reduced medical, prescription drug or equipment copayment, coinsurance or deductible, or a combination of these incentives to an insured or enrollee in accordance with section 1 of this act.

Sec. 4. Section 38a-623 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2010*):

No society doing business in this state shall make or permit any unfair discrimination between insured members of the same class and equal expectation of life in the premiums charged for certificates of insurance, in the dividends or other benefits payable thereon or in any other of the terms and conditions of the contracts it makes. [No] Except as provided in section 1 of this act, no society, by itself, or any other party, and no agent or solicitor, personally, or by any other party, shall

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

offer, promise, allow, give, set off or pay, directly or indirectly, any valuable consideration or inducement to or for insurance, on any risk authorized to be taken by such society [, which] that is not specified in the certificate. [No] Except as provided in section 1 of this act, no member shall receive or accept, directly or indirectly, any rebate of premium, or part thereof, or agent's or solicitor's commission thereon, payable on any certificate or receive or accept any favor or advantage or share in the dividends or other benefits to accrue on, or any valuable consideration or inducement not specified in, the contract of insurance.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:				
Section 1	January 1, 2010	New section		
Sec. 2	January 1, 2010	38a-825		
Sec. 3	January 1, 2010	38a-816(9)		
Sec. 4	January 1, 2010	38a-623		

Statement of Legislative Commissioners:

In line 25, "procedures for the approval" was substituted for "procedures of approval" for clarity.

INS Joint Favorable Subst.-LCO

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 10 \$	FY 11 \$
Revenue Serv., Dept.	GF - Revenue Loss	Potential	Potential
Note: GF=General Fund			

Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 10 \$	FY 11 \$
Various Municipalities	STATE MANDATE -	Potential	Potential
	Cost	Minimal	Minimal

Explanation

Requiring insurers to establish incentives for utilization of wellness programs will result in a General Fund revenue loss to the insurance premiums tax to the degree that insurers choose to provide a premium reduction to participating individuals. The revenue loss cannot be determined because it is not known to what extent insurers will offer this incentive as part of their plan.

This mandate is not anticipated to impact the state employee and retiree health plan. The state health plan currently offers wellness programs as value-added services such as membership discounts for gyms or weight loss programs. The Office of the State Comptroller has stated that there would be no increased premium cost for negotiating a reward program. This is attributed to the fact that the bill does not require the adoption of a particular incentive program. Additionally, studies indicate that wellness programs consistently result in reductions in health care costs and employee absenteeism, and therefore are typically worthwhile for both the provider and subscriber.

The mandate's provisions may minimally increase premium costs to certain fully insured municipal plans which do not currently offer a wellness program, or associated reward system when municipalities enter into new health insurance contracts after January 1, 2010. Any additional cost would likely be minimized, as the bill gives municipalities flexibility in designing a program by not requiring a specific incentive. In addition, wellness programs are typically worthwhile for both the provider and subscriber as studies indicate consistent reductions in health care costs and employee absenteeism. Due to federal law, municipalities with self-insured health plans are exempt from state health insurance benefit mandates.

The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation.

Sources: Office of the State Comptroller, Wellness Council of America, Municipal Employees Health Insurance Plan (MEHIP) Schedule of Benefits, State Employee Health Plan Subscriber Agreement.

OLR Bill Analysis sSB 962

AN ACT CONCERNING WELLNESS INCENTIVES.

SUMMARY:

This bill requires an insurer or other entity writing group health insurance in Connecticut to offer a "reasonably designed" health behavior wellness, maintenance, or improvement program that gives participants one or more of the following: a reward; health spending account contribution; premium reduction; or reduced copayment, coinsurance, or deductible. It prohibits the value of any reward or incentive from exceeding 20% of "paid premiums" and requires them to comply with federal non-discrimination requirements (see BACKGROUND).

The bill requires the insurance commissioner, in consultation with the public health commissioner, to adopt regulations to establish criteria for such programs and procedures for approving them. It requires an insured person or plan enrollee to give the insurer or entity proof of program participation in a manner the insurance commissioner approves.

The bill exempts a reward or incentive allowed under its provisions from the laws prohibiting rebates. It also makes technical and conforming changes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2010

APPLICABILITY OF BILL

The bill applies to each insurer, HMO, hospital or medical service corporation, fraternal benefit society, or other entity that delivers, issues, renews, amends, or continues in Connecticut a group health insurance policy that covers (1) basic hospital expenses; (2) basic

medical-surgical expenses; (3) major medical expenses; and (4) hospital or medical services.

Due to federal law (ERISA), state insurance benefit mandates do not apply to self-insured benefit plans.

BACKGROUND

Federal Rule for Wellness Programs

HIPAA prohibits discrimination based on health status. Related U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) rules prohibit a wellness program from offering participants a reward if a person has to attain some health status in order to receive it. A program can encourage healthy habits through an incentive, such as program cost reimbursement, but reimbursement cannot be conditioned on a person actually changing his or her habits.

According to DOL, an employer's wellness program complies with federal nondiscrimination requirements if it is open to all similarly situated individuals and, if a reward is offered, it is not conditioned on a person satisfying a health factor-related standard, unless the program meets the following five requirements:

- 1. a premium discount that does not exceed 20% of the total cost of employee-only coverage (or 20% of the cost of coverage if dependents can participate in the program);
- 2. the program is reasonably designed to promote health and prevent disease;
- 3. people eligible for the program have an opportunity to qualify for the reward at least once a year;
- 4. the program, to accommodate people for whom it is unreasonably difficult to quit using tobacco products because of an addiction, provides a reasonable alternative standard (such as a discount if the person attends educational classes or tries a nicotine patch); and

5. plan material describing a premium discount also describes the reasonable alternative standard available to qualify for the lower premium.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Insurance and Real Estate Committee

Joint Favorable Yea 14 Nay 4 (03/05/2009)